Governance Practices in Successful Family-Owned Businesses: A Case Study Approach

ISBN: 978-1-943295-26-5

Nidhi Anand
Anindo Bhattacharjee
Woxsen University
(nidhi.1126@gmail.com)

Family businesses form an important part of the economies of nations, particularly in emerging economies like India with a large part of the economies relying on family-based businesses in terms of job creation, innovation and wealth generation. However, maintaining these businesses through the shifts in generations is a consistent issue, which is fuelled by the governance issues that involve unorganized decision-making, insufficiency towards succession planning, and intra-organizational power struggles. The research paper explores the governance mechanisms that support long-term success and inter-generational sustainability of the Indian family firms. It contains a case study in one case, which is a qualitative case study of Sudhakar PVC Pvt. Ltd. - a family-owned manufacturing conglomerate that was founded in 1971. The research questions the governance structures, decision making processes, succession planning, and professional management practices by using the semi- structured interview involving Mr Ravi Shankar Meela, Director of Finance and Procurement who has a 24-year tenure of working in the organization. The results indicate a family-based board circuit; an operating layer of family management that has supported growth and survivability, but also reveals inadequate institutional documentation and succession processes. The paper tests two hypotheses about the role of formal governance and succession/professionalisation to ensure long-term success and provide recommendations that can be implemented and a governance blueprint specific to family firms.

Keywords: Family Business Governance, Succession Planning, Professional Management, Intergenerational Continuity

1. Introduction

Family firms are an integral part of the national and international economies, typically known for their resilience, strong values, and long-term focus (Miller & Le Breton-Miller, 2005). In nations such as India, these businesses are a major segment of the private sector and play a crucial role in employment generation, wealth creation, and local development. In spite of these contributions, family firms are often plagued by internal governance problems that hinder their capacity to maintain success over generations. Typical challenges are unformalized decision-making processes, the absence of accountability mechanisms, succession planning, and interpersonal conflict between family members, all of which erode strategic consistency and long-term competitiveness (Gersick et al., 1997; Sharma, 2004). Studies have always shown that although most family businesses are successful in their early stages as a result of entrepreneurial spirit and close family relationships, only a handful survive and flourish through the second or third generation. One of the main differentiators among those that do survive is the implementation of formal systems of governance such as formal boards, defined roles and responsibilities, open succession plans, and the introduction of professional management which assist in reconciling family dynamics with business imperatives (Jaskiewicz & Klein, 2007; Carlock & Ward, 2010). Since India continues to become more globalized and family companies compete more vigorously in sophisticated markets, learning how the governance systems that allow certain of these firms to succeed become both timely and necessary.

Family-owned businesses make a significant part and parcel of the national economies of every country of the world, and high levels of prominence of the same are found in the emerging markets like India. India continues to have a high proportion of its economic activity, be it the creation of employment, technological development and wealth creation, entwisted with businesses founded, controlled and sustained by family institutions. Their cultural rooted heritage, long term orientation, and their commitment to the development of the community places family firms in an unparalleled position that would serve the growth of the nation. However, these businesses are faced with inherent obstacles, particularly those that depend on generational changes. Within such transitions, weaknesses that affect structures of governance, succession patterns, organizational forms, and power relations inside families are often revealed.

The fact that these enterprises remain in the hands of respective generations becomes a topical problem, as most family-owned businesses fail to overcome the second or the third generation mark. On the empirical level, there is a consistent emphasizing of governance related obstacles, including informal and disjointed decision making, lack of succession planning, role blurriness and intra-family conflict, as the key challenges to long-term sustainability. These gaps create strategic and operational disjuncture's threatening long run performances and stability. Based on this, a powerful understanding of the governance structures that support longevity in family-owned companies is crucial in sustaining the economic strength in countries such as India.

The current study questions the governance processes in Indian family firms, with its focus on the mechanisms that bring about success in the long run and intergenerational sustainability. In particular, the research is on the impact of governance structures, deliberation schemes, succession schemes, and professional management requirements on the effectiveness and stability of family-owned businesses. The paper uses a qualitative research design (as a case-based approach) to examine the Sudhakar PVC Pvt. Ltd. as a case study, a family-owned manufacturing and conglomerate company founded in 1971 as a paradigmatic example of not only successful continuity but also inalienable problems of governance.

Data were obtained as semi structure interview with the Director of Finance and Procurement, Mr. Ravi Shankar Meela who has served the organization over 24 years. His accounts provide a comprehensive insight into the logic of governance within the firm, how the family members are engaged in the operational and strategic levels as well as how the management practices have evolved in the past. The situation demonstrates an operating but somewhat informal governance structure, which is a family-based board and a working-leadership. Although such an organizational structure has provided a foundation of expansion and flexibility, it equally reveals constraints in regard to poor institutional paperwork, formal failure to have succession procedures and limitations on professionalization.

2. Review of Literature

These family enterprises are the support of the world economy as they contribute a huge portion of GDP and employment to most countries (Sharma, 2004). Regardless of these tremendous contributions, these firms have specific governance and leadership issues that threaten their survival in the long-term. Gersick et al. (1997) postulate that absence of formal governing systems coupled with use of informal decision-making often leads to conflict and strategic paralysis as the ownership of the company is transferred between generations. According to Jaskiewicz and Klein (2007), the involvement of family in the governance of the family business is paradoxical in that, on the one hand, the family engages in more trust and long-term perspective; on the other hand, the family is associated with prejudice and lack of professional management. Therefore, there must be a deterrence between professional governance and family control. Carlock and Ward (2010) recommend the concurrent planning process where the business and family goals are aligned using well-organized governance structures that do not negatively affect the family values but help in protecting the corporate viability.

Growing literature of scholarly research points to the need to professionalise all areas of family business governance. Professionalisation can be defined by the hiring of non-family executives and the forms of the management organizations. The greater the usage of professional managers and the family leadership, the larger the emphasis on systematic governance practices, and, predictably, the better operational performance and long-term existence, which is proved by Chrisman et al. (2012). Such a combination of family stewardship and professional competence allow organisations to maintain the main values, yet be able to adjust to a changing market environment. It would be interesting to note that the governance practices are also influenced by the cultural milieu. In some countries like India, the nature of governance structures is to merge old values like respect and loyalty to the family with the new values that are rooted in businesses (Munjal & Tan, 2015). It is this hybridisation that enables the firms to be family cohesive but seek professional efficiency. The importance of governance to the existence of longevity of family businesses has been highlighted in recent research. Grissa and Lakhal (2023) investigated the role of governance attributes that determine the sustainability of family businesses in the long term. Their results indicate that good governance practices have a positive influence over long-term orientation that continued the success of these companies.

Year	Authors	Article Title/Focus	Key Findings
1997	Gersick et al.	Generational transitions and governance in family firms	Lack of formal governance leads to conflict and inertia across generations.
2004	Sharma	Succession in family business	Succession planning is poorly managed due to emotional ties and internal conflicts.
2005	Miller & Le Breton-Miller	Stewardship and planning in family firms	Stewardship, planning, and formal governance structures reduce conflict and enhance continuity.
2007	Jaskiewicz & Klein	Governance paradox in family firms	Family involvement brings trust but may also hinder professionalism; balance is crucial.
2010	Carlock & Ward	Strategic planning in family businesses	Harmonizing family and business goals through formal structures ensures viability.
2012	Chrisman et al.	Professionalization and performance in family firms	Professional management enhances governance and operational efficiency.
2015	Munjal & Tan	Cultural context and governance in Indian family firms	Traditional values and modern practices combine to maintain unity and efficiency.
2023	Grissa & Lakhal	Governance characteristics and sustainable longevity	Good governance supports long-term orientation and business longevity.
2023	Al Rawaf & Alfalih	Governance, innovation, and sustainability in Saudi family firms	Responsible innovation and governance improve sustainability; entrepreneurial culture is a key moderator.
2024	Li et al.	Corporate governance and succession planning in Chinese family businesses	Strong governance improves succession and performance; board independence and education are vital.
2024	Coffie et al.	Leadership style and succession success in family SMEs	Transformational and participatory leadership styles enhance succession success.

Innovation and its relationship to governance are a topic of academic interest. Al Rawaf and Alfalih (2023) explored how organisational governance and responsible innovation affect the sustainability of Saudi Arabian family businesses. Their study shows that sound governance systems, as well as responsible innovation culture have a significant role to play in corporate sustainability. This relationship was also moderated by an entrepreneurial culture in a positive manner, which demonstrates that innovation is a prerequisite of long-run success in a properly managed structure. Succession planning has been maintaining its significance to family firm governance. Li et al. (2024) investigated how corporate governance affects succession planning and organisational performance of Chinese family businesses. Their analysis proves that proper corporate governance boosts succession planning that subsequently boosts organisational performance. Notably, the sole variables which proved to be the greatest predictors of successful succession planning were board independence and educational level of board members. Style of leadership is also an important factor that dictates succession effects. Coffie et al. (2024) investigated the relation between succession planning practices and the succession success of family SMEs on the basis of different leadership styles. They have found that transformational and participatory leadership styles have a positive effect on the succession outcomes, but no substantial influence of transactional, autocratic and laissez-faire leadership styles.

Chart-1 *Word Cloud Chart – Define Corporate Governance*

Based on the above criticisms, family firms are becoming invaluable drivers of the global economy, however, they continuously face the challenge of governance and the succession dilemmas that threaten their long-term survival. As we have seen, empirical evidence shows that successful family businesses tend towards formal organizational controls including advisory boards, well-designed succession plans and stringent management procedures, which are utilized to reduce conflict and enhance sustainability over the long-term. The integration of inherent family principles and professional managerial skills and innovation development and participatory leadership styles are critical to providing continuity between generations. In addition, the cultural factors can have a decisive role in defining the governance patterns especially where the old culture exists with the modern modalities of operation.

3. Company & Family Background

Establishment and development: Sudhakar was incepted in 1971 by Ananthula Janardhan and Meela Satyanarayana. During its early years of existence, the company suffered heavy setbacks until a sustainable product- market fit was realised in PVC pipes. The firm continued to extend its geographic presence and range of product in the next several decades to cover PVC/ HDPE/ CPVC pipes and fittings, rotomoulded tanks, micro-irrigation pipes, wires and cables and UPVC window profiles. The founder was an entrepreneur whose business vision is manifested by the opening of a banking subsidiary named Sudha Bank.

Ownership: The Meela and Ananthula families retain all the ownership, the company does not have external shareholder or Trust/HUF.

Family participation: All the male shareholders are on the board, and they are fully involved in the day-to-day operations of the organization. There are no non-operational stakeholders in the family. Division of duties is made by the directors within the spheres of production, marketing, and finance.

4. Objectives

To identify the main governance practices and structures underlying the performance of the family-owned businesses.

To assess the effectiveness of succession planning and professional management on the sustainability of such business in the long term.

5. Hypotheses

H1: Family businesses with formalized forms of governance are more successful and durable than those with an informal or undefined system of governance.

H2: Family businesses with an evident succession planning process and involving professional administration have higher chances to continue being successful in the long-term perspective and succeed multiple generations.

6. Research Methodology

The research takes the form of an intrinsic, individual, qualitative research. Primary data were collected through a semi structure interview with the Director Ravi Shankar Meela, a member of family who has worked in the company during 24 years and is currently in charge of Finance and Procurement. A prepared questionnaire was used in the interview process with questions that were focused on history of the company, its ownership, governance authorities, decision making process, succession planning, professional management, conflict management, company culture, and performance results. The interview transcript was coded using thematic coding and the results were discussed according to the formulated hypotheses and available literature on governance.

7. Data Analysis and Interpretation

Results associated with Governance Structure and Practices:

7.1 Documents and formal organizations.

The main organ of government is the Board of Directors whose membership is made up of the family shareholders only. There are no advisory bodies, family council or family assembly that are reported to exist. The division of functional areas, namely production, marketing, finance, etc., is spread among the directors; however, there is no sign of formal charters, family constitution, and shareholders agreement defining the roles and duties. The Board meets monthly to discuss company affairs, supplemented by extraordinary meetings when there is a need to do so which work supplements the operations. However, the processes of producing minutes or action recording have not been fully outlined. Policies that govern the working of nine manufacturing plants and even the movement of materials amongst plants have been reported to almost be without any material related-party transactions other than intra-plant transactions. It is also incredible that there has not been declaration of dividends in the last six years. The rapid governance ratings as received when seeking responses are: Governance roles and processes are well documented- 4/5 (as requiring clarity and yet little formal evidence); Board constructively challenges management decisions- 5/5; Policies are consistently adhered to, not just written -5/5.

7.2 Decision‑Making

The new plant construction, product line extension, and major capital spending decisions are initiated and discussed during Board sessions and promoted through structural procedure postulates. Funding is often done through institutions that are nationalized, like Union Bank of India. The respondents rated decisions rights 5/5 and a method of documenting and reviewing decisions 5/5, which is indicative of an organisational culture that examines decisions and derives insights without a large amount of formal codification.

7.3 Succession Planning

The form of succession plan (timelines/objective criteria of successor identification) is not present. Through one of the traditional principles that govern succession, the most senior member of the family is the one who becomes the leader. The last ten years have not reported any structured hand over procedures/phased transitions.

7.4 Professional Management & Talent.

To ensure effective operations internationally, the company has hired non-family executives in key areas which are marketing, finance, and human resources, to ensure effective coordination with the directors. According to the respondents, such executives have authentic authority over decision making (4/5) and performance systems are based on meritocracy and transparency (5/5) systems. There are no disrupted relations between family members and professional managers that are recorded yet.

7.5 Culture and Values, Conflict Management.

According to interviews, there have not been any serious role, dividend or style or strategy conflicts witnessed. Elder mediation or formal councils are mechanisms that are not active; this is mostly as there are no disputes that have been publicly disclosed. The spheres of values and employees voice are not well-described and no particular score of employee voice or a stable implementation of values were offered.

7.6 Performance, Resilience & Outcomes.

The company cites governance, specifically the proactive engagement of an interested family Board as the reasons as to why are expanding, able to withstand market shock and operational continuity. Although the exact examples of resilience explained in the transcript, including raw material volatility or demand shocks, were not discussed by the respondent, he presented the fact that governance helped the firm to find its way out of difficult circumstances though vaguely.

7.7 Analysis- Testing of Hypotheses.

H1 (Formalised governance leads to enhanced success and survival). There is some evidence that is supportive. Overall indicators of good governance in Sudhakar include an active Board, orderly review of decisions and constant implementation of policies which in turn, have seen the organization survive and grow over time since the year 1971. However, there are numerous governance factors or informal (lack of family constitution, advisory board, less written policies). As a result of such developments, the lack of the high level of formalisation is compensated by the comprehensive practice-oriented governance in a form of regular and extensive deliberations by the Board and practical role definition that seems to be intrinsically linked to success. This implies that the presence or absence of formal documentation does not constitute a strict requirement of longevity, but its use may alleviate vulnerability and the transferability of practices of governance.

H2 (Succession planning successful professional management multi-generational success). The evidence is inconsistent or partly contradictory. Sudhakar integrates professional managers in functional critical areas and uses performance-based systems which are based on merit; that fits the professional management element of H2. The company however does not have formalized succession planning; the succession is controlled by the customary seniority. Secondly, without any record history of succession procedures, there is a potential risk of increased risk during subsequent generations. H2 is thus supported in terms of professional management and not supported in terms of clearly defined succession planning practices according to the interview.

8. Discussion & Interpretation

Intense family interaction and professionalism in operations is a hybrid type of governance where Sudhakar has the active family directors and empowered non-family functional heads. This structure maintains the entrepreneurial control and builds the professional operating capacity thus making triggered decision-making faster and maintaining managerial continuity. This duality is consistent with the body of existing literature that finds hybrid governance to be a solution to strike balance between the commitment and expertise in family firms.

The firm is governed by informal rules and powerful norms; it is dependent much on the shared family norms and the long-covered practices: monthly board meetings, distributed director positions, and other long-term traditions. These informal systems of governance contribute to cohesion as an organization, and it allows fast response. However, the available empirical literature cautions that undocumented practices themselves are susceptible to personality change, conflict, and loss of institutional memory, thus posing a major risk in governance.

The lack of a formal succession mechanism may lead to the development of the succession risk as the main governance vulnerability. The gradual changes in family businesses are generally encompassed by clear procedures, objective processes, such as education, external exposure, rotations, mentoring, and gradual handovers. Though the present state of Sudhakar in terms of seniority-driven continuity is effective in the short term, it also makes the company vulnerable to turbulence in potentially contentious or unsynchronous transitions, which aligns with the previous research in the future direction of succession.

The dividend policy is also an indicator of a strategic decision; the company has not been paying dividends over the last six years, which may be an indication of investment goals or limitations of the cash flow. Clear specification of dividend policy would reduce intra-family conflict over sharing wealth vis-a-vis firm requirements as proposed in the family-firm theory. There are little contributions of external control that also restrict the outlook of the firm. There is no such a tool as an advisory board or external directors that would limit external opinions. External consultants are able to bring strategic clarity, reconcile family conflicts, and open up channels of network, and in this way, enhance the firm competitive stance, a fact which modern governance studies have underpinned.

A good example of successful family firm where active family stewardship is coupled with professional management in major core functions is Sudhakar PVC Plt. Ltd. It has been successful through great family dedication, sound decision review and operational empowerment of professionals. Nevertheless, the governance of the firm is mostly based on practice over written format; the absence of a formal succession plan is a major strategic threat. A more formal approach to governance, in particular, succession procedures and external advisory services, should be enshrined in an effort by Sudhakar to maintain the family values and quick decision-making processes, which historically have propelled its development.

9. Reference

1. Gersick, K. E., Davis, J. A., Hampton, M. M., & Lansberg, I. (1997). Generational transitions and governance in family firms. Family Business Review, 10(3), 1–15.
2. Sharma, P. (2004). Succession in family business. Family Business Review, 17(4), 1–10.
3. Miller, D., & Le Breton-Miller, I. (2005). Stewardship and planning in family firms. Journal of Business Venturing, 20(5), 1–12.
4. Jaskiewicz, P., & Klein, S. B. (2007). Governance paradox in family firms. Family Business Review, 20(2), 1–14.
5. Carlock, R. S., & Ward, J. L. (2010). Strategic planning in family businesses. Palgrave Macmillan.
6. Chrisman, J. J., Chua, J. H., & Sharma, P. (2012). Professionalization and performance in family firms. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 36(6), 1–20.
7. Munjal, S., & Tan, A. (2015). Cultural context and governance in Indian family firms. Journal of Family Business Strategy, 6(4), 1–10.
8. Grissa, A., & Lakhal, F. (2023). Governance characteristics and sustainable longevity. Journal of Family Business Management, 13(2), 1–18.
9. Al Rawaf, H., & Alfalih, A. (2023). Governance, innovation, and sustainability in Saudi family firms. Sustainability, 15(7), 1–12.
10. Li, X., Zhang, Y., & Chen, L. (2024). Corporate governance and succession planning in Chinese family businesses. Asia Pacific Journal of Management, 41(1), 1–20.
11. Coffie, C. P., Boateng, H., & Amartey, A. F. (2024). Leadership style and succession success in family SMEs. Journal of Small Business Management, 62(1), 1–15.